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#### Abstract

A new laminate model is presented for the dynamic analysis of a laminated circular ring segment. The differential equations which govern the free vibrations of a circular ring segment and the associated boundary conditions are derived by Hamilton's principle considering bending and shear deformation of all layers. The author used a new iterative process to successively refine the stress/strain field in the viscoelastic layer. The model includes the effects of transverse shear and rotatory inertia. The iterative model is used to predict the modal frequencies and damping of a simply supported sandwich circular arch. The solutions for a three-layer circular arch are compared to a three-layer approximate model.


(C) 2001 Academic Press

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Laminated composite curved beams have been used in engineering applications for many years. Design applications of isotropic and curved bars, rings and arches of arbitrary shapes are assisted by a well-developed theory and proven design guidelines [1-4]. The development of the theory and design guidelines for composite curved beams is much less satisfactory. Earlier works are related to the sandwich beams or closed composite rings [5-9]. The finite element method was used to study the dynamic response of sandwich curved beams by Ahmed [5, 6]. Free and forced vibrations of a three-layer damped ring were investigated by Di Taranto [7]. Lu and Douglas [8] investigated a damped three-layered sandwich ring subjected to a time harmonic radially concentrated load. Their paper gives an analytical solution for the mechanical impedance at an arbitrary point on the surface of the damped structure as a function of the forcing frequency. Furthermore, an experimental procedure is employed to measure the driving point mechanical impedance as a verification of the calculated results. The transient response was studied for three-layer closed rings by Sagartz [9]. The damping properties of curved sandwich beams with a viscoelastic layer were studied by Tatemichi et al. [10]. Viscoelastic damping in the middle core layer was emphasized.

Nelson and Sullivan [11] analyzed a complete circular ring consisting of a layer of soft viscoelastic material sandwiched between two hard elastic layers. The equations which govern the forced vibration of a damped circular ring were solved by the method of damped forced modes. Isvan and Nelson [12] investigated the natural frequencies and composite loss factors of the free vibration of a soft cored circular arch simply supported at each end. Kovacs [13] solved the problem of free vibrations of a stiff-cored sandwich circular arch. All
the tangential displacement components are assumed to be piecewise linear across the thickness, thus implying the inclusion of shear deformations and rotary inertia.

The incremental equations of motion based on the principle of virtual displacements of a continuous medium are formulated using the total Lagrangson description by Liao and Reddy [14]. They developed a degenerate shell element with a degenerate curved beam element as a stiffener for the geometric non-linear analysis of laminated, anisotropic, stiffened shells. Bhimaraddi et al. [15] presented a 24 d.o.f. of an isoparametric finite element for the analysis of generally laminated curved beams. The rotary inertia and shear deformation effects were considered in this study. Qatu developed a consistent set of equations for laminated shallow and deep arches $[16,17]$. Exact solutions are presented for laminated arches having general boundary conditions by Qatu and Elsharkawy [18]. The in-plane free vibrational analysis of symmetric cross-ply laminated circular arches is studied by Yildirim [19]. The free vibration equations are based on the distributed parameter model. The transfer matrix method is used in the analysis. The rotary inertia, axial and shear deformation effects are considered in the Timoshenko analysis by the first order shear deformation theory. Vaswani et al. [20] derived a closed-form solution for the system loss factors and resonance frequencies for a curved sandwich beam with a viscoelastic core by the Ritz method. He and Rao [21] used the energy method and Hamilton's principle to derive the governing equation of motion for the coupled flexural and longitudinal vibration of a curved sandwich beam system. Both shear and thickness deformations of the adhesive core are included. Equations for obtaining the system modal loss factors and resonance frequencies are derived for a system having simply supported ends by the Ritz method.

It is well known that the accurate determination of the stress field in the laminate configurations is particularly important for "stress-critical" calculations such as damping and delamination. Zapfe and Lesieutre [22] developed an iterative process to refine successively the shape of the stress/strain distribution for the dynamic analysis of laminated beams. The iterative model is used to predict the modal frequencies and damping of simply supported beams with integral viscoelastic layers.

The present research extends the iterative laminated model developed by Zapfe and Lesieutre to the dynamic analysis of a laminated circular ring segment. The current model is developed for the specific case of a simply supported circular ring segment with uniform properties along its length.

## 2. GOVERNING EQUATIONS OF MOTION

The geometry of interest and the notation used are shown in Figure 1. As indicated in the figure, the ring segment ends are simply supported. Consider the curved sandwich arch with a circular centreline and a constant rectangular cross-section. The arch consists of three different layers of homogeneous materials bonded together to form a composite arch. Subscript $i$, where $i=1-3$ is used to denote quantities in the various layers, starting from the outermost layer, so that layers 1 and 3 represent the elastic layers while 2 represents the viscoelastic layer. A state of plane stress is assumed, as well as the fact that the materials in each layer of the arch are homogeneous isotropic. A perfect bonding of the layers and linear elasticity are also assumed in the analysis. The composite arch is lightly damped and it is assumed that all the energy dissipated is dissipated in the viscoelastic layer. The deformation in the radial direction is neglected. An initial cross-section of the first layer such as $A_{1} A_{2}$ deforms to $A_{1}^{\prime} A_{2}^{\prime}$ as shown in Figure 2. This simply means that the plane cross-sections in the individual layer continue to remain plane after deformation. Keeping in mind that the deformation in the radial direction is neglected, such continuous


Figure 1. The geometry of the laminated circular ring segment.


Figure 2. The displacement field of the laminated circular ring segment.
displacements of cross-sections are described by the following displacement field:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{t}_{1}(r, \varphi, t)= & \frac{1}{2 h_{1}}\left[\left(h_{1}+r-R_{1}\right) u_{2}(\varphi, t)+\left(h_{1}-r+R_{1}\right) u_{1}(\varphi, t)\right] \mathbf{e}_{\varphi}-w(\varphi, t) \mathbf{e}_{r}, \\
& R_{1}-h_{1} \leqslant r \leqslant R_{1}+h_{1} . \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

In the above equation $u_{1}(\varphi, t)$ and $u_{2}(\varphi, t)$ denote the tangential displacements at the bottom and top surfaces of the first layer. Similarly, in the third layer the displacements of the arbitrary point can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{t}_{3}(r, \varphi, t)= & \frac{1}{2 h_{3}}\left[\left(h_{3}+r-R_{3}\right) u_{4}(\varphi, t)+\left(h_{3}-r+R_{3}\right) u_{3}(\varphi, t)\right] \mathbf{e}_{\varphi}-w(\varphi, t) \mathbf{e}_{r}, \\
& R_{3}-h_{3} \leqslant r \leqslant R_{3}+h_{3} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u_{3}(\varphi, t)$ and $u_{4}(\varphi, t)$ denote the tangential displacements at the top and bottom surfaces of the third layer. The form of the displacement field over the domain of the second layer is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{t}_{2}(r, \varphi, t)=\left[f(r) u_{3}(\varphi, t)+g(r) u_{2}(\varphi, t)\right] \mathbf{e}_{\varphi}-w(\varphi, t) \mathbf{e}_{r}, \quad R_{2}-h_{2} \leqslant r \leqslant R_{2}+h_{2}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f\left(R_{2}+h_{2}\right)=1, & f\left(R_{2}-h_{2}\right)=0 \\
g\left(R_{2}+h_{2}\right)=0, & g\left(R_{2}-h_{2}\right)=1 \tag{5}
\end{array}
$$

The functions $f(r)$ and $g(r)$ can be thought of as a shape function through the thickness of the second layer to account for transverse shear effects. The solution of a given problem requires the determination of the unknown functions $u_{1}(\varphi, t), u_{2}(\varphi, t), u_{3}(\varphi, t), u_{4}(\varphi, t)$, $w(\varphi, t), f(r)$ and $g(r)$. By using

$$
\mathbf{t}=u_{r} \mathbf{e}_{r}+u_{\varphi} \mathbf{e}_{\varphi}, \quad \varepsilon_{\varphi}=\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_{\varphi}}{\partial \varphi}+\frac{u_{r}}{r}, \quad \gamma_{r \varphi}=\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial \varphi}+\frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial r}-\frac{u_{\varphi}}{r},
$$

standard expressions the strain tensor of each layer can be computed from equations (1)-(3)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varepsilon_{\varphi 1}=\frac{1}{r}\left\{\frac{1}{2 h_{1}}\left[\left(h_{1}+r-R_{1}\right) \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial \varphi}+\left(h_{1}-r+R_{1}\right) \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial \varphi}\right]-w\right\},  \tag{6}\\
\gamma_{r \varphi 1}=\frac{1}{r}\left\{-\frac{\partial w}{\partial \varphi}+\frac{1}{2 h_{1}}\left[\left(R_{1}-h_{1}\right) u_{2}-\left(R_{1}+h_{1}\right) u_{1}\right]\right\},  \tag{7}\\
\varepsilon_{\varphi 2}=\frac{1}{r}\left[f(r) \frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial \varphi}+g(r) \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial \varphi}-w\right],  \tag{8}\\
\gamma_{r \varphi 2}=-\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \varphi}+F(r) u_{3}+G(r) u_{2},  \tag{9}\\
\varepsilon_{\varphi 3}=\frac{1}{r}\left\{\frac{1}{2 h_{3}}\left[\left(h_{3}+r-R_{3}\right) \frac{\partial u_{4}}{\partial \varphi}+\left(h_{3}-r+R_{3}\right) \frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial \varphi}\right]-w\right\},  \tag{10}\\
\gamma_{r \varphi 3}=\frac{1}{r}\left\{-\frac{\partial w}{\partial \varphi}+\frac{1}{2 h_{3}}\left[\left(R_{3}-h_{3}\right) u_{4}-\left(R_{3}+h_{3}\right) u_{3}\right]\right\}, \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
F(r)=\frac{\mathrm{d} f}{\mathrm{~d} r}-\frac{f}{r}, \quad \text { and } \quad G(r)=\frac{\mathrm{d} g}{\mathrm{~d} r}-\frac{g}{r}
$$

From equation (9), it can be seen that $F(r)$ and $G(r)$ represent the transverse shear strain field through the thickness of the second layer, at a given $\varphi$-location. While the assumed form of the shear correction, $f(r)$ and $g(r)$ changes from one iteration to the next, at any given iteration it can be treated as a known function.

The strain energy stored in the circular arch is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
U= & \frac{b}{2} \int_{\varphi=0}^{\vartheta} \int_{R_{1}-h_{1}}^{R_{1}+h_{1}}\left[E_{1}\left(\varepsilon_{\varphi 1}\right)^{2}+G_{1}\left(\gamma_{r \varphi 1}\right)^{2}\right] r \mathrm{~d} r \mathrm{~d} \varphi+\frac{b}{2} \int_{\varphi=0}^{\varphi} \int_{R_{2}-h_{2}}^{R_{2}+h_{2}}\left[E_{2}\left(\varepsilon_{\varphi 2}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+G_{2}\left(\gamma_{r \varphi 2}\right)^{2}\right] r \mathrm{~d} r \mathrm{~d} \varphi+\frac{b}{2} \int_{\varphi=0}^{\vartheta} \int_{R_{3}-h_{3}}^{R_{3}+h_{3}}\left[E_{3}\left(\varepsilon_{\varphi 3}\right)^{2}+G_{3}\left(\gamma_{r \varphi 3}\right)^{2}\right] r \mathrm{~d} r \mathrm{~d} \varphi . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

The kinetic energy, which includes components associated with transverse, in-plane and rotary inertia, is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
T= & \frac{b}{2} \int_{\varphi=0}^{\vartheta} \int_{R_{1}-h_{1}}^{R_{1}+h_{1}} \rho_{1}\left(\mathbf{t}_{1}\right)^{2} r \mathrm{~d} r \mathrm{~d} \varphi+\frac{b}{2} \int_{\varphi=0}^{\vartheta} \int_{R_{2}-h_{2}}^{R_{2}+h_{2}} \rho_{2}\left(\mathbf{t}_{2}\right)^{2} r \mathrm{~d} r \mathrm{~d} \varphi \\
& +\frac{b}{2} \int_{\varphi=0}^{g} \int_{R_{3}-h_{3}}^{R_{3}+h_{3}} \rho_{3}\left(\mathbf{t}_{3}\right)^{2} r \mathrm{~d} r \mathrm{~d} \varphi, \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where the dots over $\mathbf{t}_{1}, \mathbf{t}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{t}_{3}$ denote the partial derivative with respect to time. The differential equations of motion and boundary conditions are derived using Hamilton's principle. The equations of motion for the five unknown functions, $u_{1}(\varphi, t), u_{2}(\varphi, t), u_{3}(\varphi, t)$, $u_{4}(\varphi, t)$ and $w(\varphi, t)$ are

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{11} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}}{\partial \varphi^{2}}+A_{12} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}}{\partial \varphi^{2}}+B_{15} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \varphi}+C_{11} u_{1}+C_{12} u_{2}=D_{11} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}}{\partial t^{2}}+D_{12} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}}{\partial t^{2}},  \tag{14}\\
A_{21} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}}{\partial \varphi^{2}}+A_{22} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}}{\partial \varphi^{2}}+A_{23} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{3}}{\partial \varphi^{2}}+B_{25} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \varphi}+C_{21} u_{1}+C_{22} u_{2}+C_{23} u_{3} \\
=D_{21} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}}{\partial t^{2}}+D_{22} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}}{\partial t^{2}}+D_{23} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{3}}{\partial t^{2}},  \tag{15}\\
A_{32} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}}{\partial \varphi^{2}}+A_{33} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{3}}{\partial \varphi^{2}}+A_{34} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{4}}{\partial \varphi^{2}}+B_{35} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \varphi}+C_{32} u_{2}+C_{33} u_{3}+C_{34} u_{4} \\
=D_{32} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}}{\partial t^{2}}+D_{33} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{3}}{\partial t^{2}}+D_{34} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{4}}{\partial t^{2}},  \tag{16}\\
A_{43} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{3}}{\partial \varphi^{2}}+A_{44} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{4}}{\partial \varphi^{2}}+B_{45} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \varphi}+C_{43} u_{3}+C_{44} u_{4}=D_{43} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{3}}{\partial t^{2}}+D_{44} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{4}}{\partial t^{2}},  \tag{17}\\
A_{55} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial \varphi^{2}}+B_{51} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial \varphi}+B_{52} \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial \varphi}+B_{53} \frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial \varphi}+B_{54} \frac{\partial u_{4}}{\partial \varphi}+C_{55} w=D_{55} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}, \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $A_{i j}, B_{i j} C_{i j}$ and $D_{i j}$ are given in Appendix B. $K_{1-17}$ and $M_{1-10}$ are section stiffness and mass coefficients, given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
K_{[1,2,3]}=b \int_{R_{1}-h_{1}}^{R_{1}+h_{1}}\left[r, 1, \frac{1}{r}\right] \mathrm{d} r, \quad K_{[4,5,6]}=b \int_{R_{3}-h_{3}}^{R_{3}+h_{3}}\left[r, 1, \frac{1}{r}\right] \mathrm{d} r, \\
K_{[7,8,9,10,11,12]}=b \int_{R_{2}-h_{2}}^{R_{2}+h_{2}}\left[\frac{1}{r} f^{2}(r), \frac{1}{r} g^{2}(r), \frac{1}{r} f(r) g(r), \frac{1}{r} f(r), \frac{1}{r} g(r)\right] \mathrm{d} r, \\
K_{[13,14,15,16,17]}=b \int_{R_{2}-h_{2}}^{R_{2}+h_{2}}\left[r F^{2}(r), r G^{2}(r), F(r), G(r), r F(r) G(r)\right] \mathrm{d} r, \\
M_{[1,2,3]}=b \int_{R_{1}-h_{1}}^{R_{1}+h_{1}}\left[r^{3}, r^{2}, r\right] \mathrm{d} r, \quad M_{[4,5,6]}=b \int_{R_{2}-h_{2}}^{R_{2}+h_{2}}\left[r^{3}, r^{2}, r\right] \mathrm{d} r, \\
M_{[7,8,9,10]}=b \int_{R_{2}-h_{2}}^{R_{2}+h_{2}}\left[r f^{2}(r), r g^{2}(r), r f(r) g(r), r\right] \mathrm{d} r . \tag{19}
\end{gather*}
$$

The kinematic and natural boundary conditions specified at $\varphi=0$ and $\varphi=\vartheta$, are given by

Kinematic Natural

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}=0 \quad \text { or } \quad F_{11} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial \varphi}+F_{12} \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial \varphi}+F_{13} w=0 \\
& u_{2}=0 \quad \text { or } \quad F_{21} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial \varphi}+F_{22} \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial \varphi}+F_{23} \frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial \varphi}+F_{24} w=0 \\
& u_{3}=0 \quad \text { or } \quad F_{31} \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial \varphi}+F_{32} \frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial \varphi}+F_{33} \frac{\partial u_{4}}{\partial \varphi}+F_{34} w=0,  \tag{20}\\
& u_{4}=0 \quad \text { or } \quad F_{41} \frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial \varphi}+F_{42} \frac{\partial u_{4}}{\partial \varphi}+F_{43} w=0 \\
& w=0 \quad \text { or } \quad F_{51} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \varphi}+F_{52} u_{1}+F_{53} u_{2}+F_{54} u_{3}+F_{55} u_{5}=0,
\end{align*}
$$

where $F_{i j}$ are constants. For the special case of a simply supported arch, the first, second, third and fourth natural boundary conditions are combined with the kinematic condition, $w=0$.

## 3. SOLUTION FOR A SIMPLY SUPPORTED ARCH

Sinusoidal mode shapes that satisfy the boundary conditions are assumed. Consequently, the assumed displacements are

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(\varphi, t)=W \sin \left(k_{n} \varphi\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{n} t}, \quad u_{j}(\varphi, t)=U_{j} \cos \left(k_{n} \varphi\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{n} t} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{n}=(n \pi) / \vartheta$ and $j=1-4$. Since the motion is now harmonic, it is legitimate to admit hysteretic damping into the viscoelastic layer by putting complex moduli. The Young's and shear modulus of the constituent materials are represented by the complex quantities

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{2}^{*}=E_{2}\left(1+\mathrm{i} \alpha_{2}\right), \quad G_{2}^{*}=G_{2}\left(1+\mathrm{i} \beta_{2}\right), \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{2}$ and $\beta_{2}$ denote the material loss factors in extension and shear respectively. Since the complexes $G_{2}^{*}$ and $E_{2}^{*}$ are used as complex moduli of the middle layer, the differential equations of motion will have complex coefficients. The substitution of equations (21) into equations (14)-(18), will result in a set of five simultaneous, homogeneous algebraic equations with symmetric and complex coefficients. In matrix form, these equations are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[-\omega_{n}^{2}[M]+[K]\right]\{U\}=0, \quad\{U\}=\left\{U_{1}, U_{2}, U_{3}, U_{4}, W\right\} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{i j}$ and $K_{i j}$ given are in Appendix B. The complex eigenvalues give the desired natural frequencies and mode shapes with their phase relations. The natural frequency is approximately equal to the square root of the real part of the eigenvalue. The modal loss factor for the $n$th mode is approximately equal to the ratio of the imaginary part of the eigenvalue to the real part of the eigenvalue:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{n}=\operatorname{Im}\left(\omega_{n}^{2}\right) / \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{n}^{2}\right) . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. IMPROVED ESTIMATE FOR SHAPE FUNCTIONS

Improved estimates for the shape functions $f(r)$ and $g(r)$, are derived from the equation of elemental stress equilibrium of the second layer. The equations of motion in plane stress

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left[r^{2} \tau_{r \varphi 2}\right]+r \frac{\partial \sigma_{\varphi 2}}{\partial \varphi}=r^{2} \rho_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}  \tag{25}\\
\frac{\partial \tau_{r \varphi 2}}{\partial \varphi}-\sigma_{\varphi 2}=r \rho_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}} \tag{26}
\end{gather*}
$$

applied to the second layer of the arch with $\sigma_{\varphi 2}=E_{2} \varepsilon_{\varphi 2}, \tau_{r \varphi 2}=G_{2} \gamma_{r \varphi 2}$ expressions, are now in the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
G_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left\{-r \frac{\partial w}{\partial \varphi}+r^{2}\left[\frac{\mathrm{~d} f}{\mathrm{~d} r}-\frac{f}{r}\right] u_{3}+r^{2}\left[\frac{\mathrm{~d} g}{\mathrm{~d} r}-\frac{g}{r}\right] u_{2}\right\} \\
+E_{2}\left[f(r) \frac{\partial^{2} u_{3}}{\partial \varphi^{2}}+g(r) \frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}}{\partial \varphi^{2}}-\frac{\partial w}{\partial \varphi}\right]=r^{2} \rho_{2}\left[f(r) \frac{\partial^{2} u_{3}}{\partial t^{2}}+g(r) \frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}}{\partial t^{2}}\right]  \tag{27}\\
G_{2}\left[-\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial \varphi^{2}}+\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} f}{\mathrm{~d} r}-\frac{f}{r}\right) \frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial \varphi}+\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} g}{\mathrm{~d} r}-\frac{g}{r}\right) \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial \varphi}\right] \\
-\frac{E_{2}}{r}\left[f(r) \frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial \varphi^{2}}+g(r) \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial \varphi^{2}}-w\right]=r \rho_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}} . \tag{28}
\end{gather*}
$$

From equations (21), it is obvious that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial \varphi^{2}}=-k_{n}^{2} w, & \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}=-\omega_{n}^{2} w \\
\frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}}{\partial \varphi^{2}}=-k_{n}^{2} u_{2}, & \frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}}{\partial t^{2}}=-\omega_{n}^{2} u_{2} \\
\frac{\partial^{2} u_{3}}{\partial \varphi^{2}}=-k_{n}^{2} u_{3}, & \frac{\partial^{2} u_{3}}{\partial t^{2}}=-\omega_{n}^{2} u_{3} \tag{31}
\end{array}
$$

If equations (29) are substituted into equation (28) it is found that

$$
\begin{align*}
w(\varphi, t)=\frac{1}{G_{2} k_{n}^{2}+E_{2}+r^{2} \rho_{2} \omega_{n}^{2}}\{ & \left\{-G_{2} r \frac{\mathrm{~d} f}{\mathrm{~d} r}+\left(G_{2}+E_{2}\right) f\right] \frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial \varphi} \\
& \left.+\left[-G_{2} r \frac{\mathrm{~d} g}{\mathrm{~d} r}+\left(G_{2}+E_{2}\right) g\right] \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial \varphi}\right\} \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

Substitution of the function $w(\varphi, t)$ into equation (27) with relations (30) and (31), gives

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[r^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} f}{\mathrm{~d} r^{2}}+\right.} & \left.r(1-P(r)) \frac{\mathrm{d} f}{\mathrm{~d} r}+Q(r) f(r)\right] u_{3}(\varphi, t) \\
& +\left[r^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} g}{\mathrm{~d} r^{2}}+r(1-P(r)) \frac{\mathrm{d} g}{\mathrm{~d} r}+Q(r) g(r)\right] u_{2}(\varphi, t)=0 \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
P(r)=\frac{\left(E_{2}+G_{2}\right) k_{n}^{2}}{\left(G_{2} k_{n}^{2}+E_{2}+r^{2} \rho_{2} \omega_{n}^{2}\right)},  \tag{34}\\
Q(r)=-1-\frac{\left(E_{2} k_{n}^{2}-r^{2} \rho_{2} \omega_{n}^{2}\right)}{G_{2}}+\frac{\left(E_{2}+G_{2}\right)^{2} k_{n}^{2}}{G_{2}\left(G_{2} k_{n}^{2}+E_{2}+r^{2} \rho_{2} \omega_{n}^{2}\right)} . \tag{35}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using the boundary conditions (4) and (5) for $f(r)$ and $g(r)$, respectively, from equation (33) the following two boundary value problems are obtained:

$$
\begin{gather*}
r^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} f}{\mathrm{~d} r}+r(1-P(r)) \frac{\mathrm{d} f}{\mathrm{~d} r}+Q(r) f(r)=0 \\
f\left(R_{2}-h_{2}\right)=0, \quad f\left(R_{2}+h_{2}\right)=1 \tag{36}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
r^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} g}{\mathrm{~d} r^{2}}+r(1-P(r)) \frac{\mathrm{d} g}{\mathrm{~d} r}+Q(r) g(r)=0 \\
g\left(R_{2}-h_{2}\right)=1, \quad g\left(R_{2}+h_{2}\right)=0 \tag{37}
\end{gather*}
$$

Table 1
Comparison of fundamental frequency and loss factor with results from reference [13] for a flat sandwich beam

| $\vartheta(\mathrm{rad})$ | [13] |  | Present theory |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\alpha_{2}=0 \cdot 1$ |  | $\alpha_{2}=0 \cdot 1$ |  | $\alpha_{2}=0$ |  |
|  | $f(\mathrm{~Hz})$ | $\eta$ | $f(\mathrm{~Hz})$ | $\eta$ | $f(\mathrm{~Hz})$ | $\eta$ |
| 0.016 | $5.99 \times 10^{4}$ | 0.0322 | $6.005 \times 10^{4}$ | 0.0317 | $6.01 \times 10^{4}$ | 0.0295 |
| 0.026 | $3.04 \times 10^{4}$ | 0.0403 | $3.04 \times 10^{4}$ | 0.0398 | $3.04 \times 10^{4}$ | 0.0377 |
| 0.036 | $1.896 \times 10^{4}$ | $0 \cdot 04$ | $1.9 \times 10^{4}$ | 0.0395 | $1.894 \times 10^{4}$ | 0.0377 |
| 0.046 | $1.3 \times 10^{4}$ | 0.036 | $1.309 \times 10^{4}$ | 0.0359 | $1.304 \times 10^{4}$ | 0.0341 |
| 0.056 | $9.57 \times 10^{3}$ | 0.0319 | $9.57 \times 10^{3}$ | 0.0314 | $9.54 \times 10^{3}$ | 0.0296 |
| 0.066 | $7.295 \times 10^{3}$ | 0.0276 | $7.296 \times 10^{3}$ | 0.0273 | $7.27 \times 10^{3}$ | 0.0253 |
| 0.076 | $5.734 \times 10^{3}$ | 0.024 | $5.734 \times 10^{3}$ | 0.0236 | $5.718 \times 10^{3}$ | 0.0215 |
| 0.086 | $4.618 \times 10^{3}$ | 0.02099 | $4.616 \times 10^{3}$ | $0 \cdot 0206$ | $4.606 \times 10^{3}$ | $0 \cdot 0184$ |
| 0.096 | $3.79 \times 10^{3}$ | 0.0184 | $3.79 \times 10^{3}$ | 0.0181 | $3.785 \times 10^{3}$ | 0.0158 |
| $0 \cdot 16$ | $1.46 \times 10^{3}$ | $0 \cdot 0099$ | $1.458 \times 10^{3}$ | $0 \cdot 00976$ | $1.467 \times 10^{3}$ | 0.00697 |

The functions $f(r)$ and $g(r)$ are influenced by both elastic and inertial effects, the relative importance of each depending on the mode. The elastic component typically predominates, except at a very high frequency. In general, the solution of problems (36) and (37) has no closed-form solution. In the present implementation, the solution is performed numerically using the finite difference method.

## 5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section numerical results are presented. The damped natural frequency and loss factor of a sandwich circular arch with the following specifications are computed: $E_{1}=E_{3}=2.068 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{~Pa} ; \quad \rho_{1}=\rho_{3}=7850 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{mm}^{3} ; \quad G_{2}=9.8 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~Pa} ; \quad E_{2}=3 G_{2} ;$ $\beta_{2}=0 \cdot 1 ; \quad h_{1}=4 \mathrm{~mm} ; \quad h_{2}=2.5 \mathrm{~mm} ; \quad h_{3}=1.5 \mathrm{~mm} ; \quad \rho_{2}=2600 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{mm}^{3} ; \quad R_{1}=993.5 \mathrm{~mm} ;$ $R_{2}=1000 \mathrm{~mm} ; R_{3}=1004 \mathrm{~mm}$.

The structure considered is variable length of the arch ( $L=16-160 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). The loss factor was compared with the values obtained by Zapfe and Lesieutre in reference [22] for a flat sandwich beam. For a given developed length, if the sandwich ring segment with a very large radius is assumed to have a very small opening angle, it becomes geometrically close to a flat beam. The loss factors thus obtained showed excellent agreement with Zapfe and Lesieutre' results in reference [22]. This agreement is despite the different model in facesheet.

Most of the earlier theories have ignored the material loss factor in the extension in the core to obtain simpler theories, $\alpha_{2}=0$. However this restriction may not be valid for a short, thick cored circular arch. Table 1 thus compares the effect of ignoring $\alpha_{2}$ on the loss factor as a function of the angle of the arch. This table shows that the effect of ignoring $\alpha_{2}$ is the reduction in the bending mode loss factor.

The eigenproblem of the plane bending of circular arch shaped layered beams was investigated by using the finite element method [23]. The comparison between different approaches makes it possible to obtain more accurate and realistic results. The test example to be investigated is a three-layered circular ring segment containing two elastic layers of

Table 2
Comparison of natural frequencies using different methods ( Hz )

| $n$ | $[13,24]$ | [23] Solid elements | Present theory | [23] Shell elements |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $18 \cdot 72$ | $18 \cdot 17$ | $17 \cdot 2$ | $15 \cdot 55$ |
| 2 | $94 \cdot 83$ | $95 \cdot 50$ | 88.91 | $84 \cdot 68$ |
| 3 | $210 \cdot 41$ | $216 \cdot 40$ | $202 \cdot 2$ | $197 \cdot 53$ |
| 4 | $353 \cdot 10$ | $371 \cdot 52$ | $340 \cdot 09$ | $348 \cdot 15$ |

3 mm thickness and a core of 8 mm thickness. This structure is investigated for constant radius $R_{2}=140 \mathrm{~mm}$ and constant, $(\vartheta=\pi / 2)$ angle of arch. The width of the ring is 6 mm . The material properties of the circular arch are the following: $E_{1}=E_{3}=2.1 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$; $E_{2}=0.6 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; \quad G_{1}=E_{1} / 2 \cdot 5 ; \quad G_{2}=E_{2} / 2 \cdot 5 ; \quad G_{3}=G_{1} ; \quad \rho_{1}=7.8 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{mm}^{3} ;$ $\rho_{2}=2.7 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{mm}^{3} ; \rho_{3}=\rho_{1}$.

The finite element model of the structure has two-two elements along the face thickness and three elements along the thickness of the core. The two edges of the circular arch are simply supported. This model consists of eight node hexahedron 3-D elements ( 280 pcs.). An other possible way of building the model of this structure is by using four node composite shell elements. The model contains the number of elements along the length. As a composite shell element contains the properties of the layers, this model contains only 40 elements, so the calculation time is much less than in the case of hexahedron elements. The analytical results are compared with the results of the two different finite element models in Table 2.

A flexure of the three-layer sandwich arch results in energy dissipation due to strains induced in the viscoelastic layer. In a symmetrical arrangement with identical elastic layers, most of the damping is due to the shear of viscoelastic layer. In an unsymmetrical arrangement, with dissimilar elastic layers, one might expect damping due to a direct strain as well as shear in the viscoelastic layer, the former being known as extensional damping and the latter as shear damping. Both these effects have been included by Kovacs [13, 24]. However, the stress-strain law assumed for the viscoelastic layer was not strictly correct and was only an approximation if extensional effects were considered. A comparison between the present complete approach and that of reference [13] is given in Table 3 for a specific case with the following values: $E_{1}=E_{3}=0.736 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; G_{1}=G_{3}=E_{3} / 2 \cdot 5$; $h_{2}=0.1 \mathrm{~mm} ; n=1 ; \rho_{1}=\rho_{3}=0.28 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{Ns} / \mathrm{mm}^{4} ; \rho_{2}=\rho_{3} / 2 ; \beta_{2}=\alpha_{2}=1 \cdot 0 ; h_{3}=3 \mathrm{~mm}$; $E_{2}=0.133 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; G_{2}=E_{2} / 3 ; R_{2}=1000 \mathrm{~mm} ; h_{1}=16.9 \mathrm{~mm}$.

A significant difference is seen for the long circular arch and a smaller value of the modal number $n=1$. For a central angle of the circular $\operatorname{arch} \vartheta=1,2(\mathrm{rad})$, the loss factor obtained by using the equations of Kovacs [13] is negative which is physically impossible. The comparison of the damping effectiveness obtained by the two approaches gives significant differences for a long circular arch having a constrained viscoelastic layer with a high value of shear modulus. The difference is most marked for the lower resonant modes (low values of the modal number n). Errors in excess of 100 percent have been calculated, with the previous work providing an underestimation of the damping effectiveness. The higher the value of the shear modulus, the more important the contribution from the extensional stress becomes. This in turn affects the shear stress distribution, which is no longer constant across the damping layer as it has been assumed in the previous work.

Table 3
Comparison of fundamental frequency and loss factor with results from reference [13] for a circular ring segment

| $\vartheta(\mathrm{rad})$ | [13] |  | Present theory |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f(\mathrm{~Hz})$ | $\eta$ | $f(\mathrm{~Hz})$ | $\eta$ |
| $0 \cdot 1$ | $7.75 \times 10^{3}$ | $0 \cdot 003$ | $7.89 \times 10^{3}$ | 0.00286 |
| $0 \cdot 2$ | $2.19 \times 10^{3}$ | $0 \cdot 0023$ | $2.24 \times 10^{3}$ | $0 \cdot 0021$ |
| $0 \cdot 3$ | $9.96 \times 10^{2}$ | $0 \cdot 002$ | $1.01 \times 10^{3}$ | $0 \cdot 002$ |
| $0 \cdot 4$ | $5.61 \times 10^{2}$ | $0 \cdot 0021$ | $5.73 \times 10^{2}$ | 0.00194 |
| 0.5 | $3.56 \times 10^{2}$ | $0 \cdot 0013$ | $3.63 \times 10^{2}$ | $0 \cdot 00192$ |
| $0 \cdot 6$ | $2.44 \times 10^{2}$ | $0 \cdot 0011$ | $2.49 \times 10^{2}$ | $0 \cdot 00191$ |
| $0 \cdot 7$ | $1.76 \times 10^{2}$ | $0 \cdot 002$ | $1.79 \times 10^{2}$ | $0 \cdot 0019$ |
| $0 \cdot 8$ | $1.32 \times 10^{2}$ | $0 \cdot 0029$ | $1.34 \times 10^{2}$ | 0.00189 |
| $0 \cdot 9$ | $1.02 \times 10^{2}$ | $0 \cdot 00048$ | $1.03 \times 10^{2}$ | $0 \cdot 00183$ |
| $1 \cdot 0$ | $7.9 \times 10$ | $0 \cdot 00012$ | $8.14 \times 10$ | 0.00179 |
| $1 \cdot 1$ | $6.23 \times 10$ | 0.0143 | $6.51 \times 10$ | 0.00187 |
| $1 \cdot 2$ | $4.72 \times 10$ | $-0.001$ | $5 \cdot 27 \times 10$ | 0.00187 |

## 6. CONCLUSIONS

A new iterative laminate model has been presented for a sandwich circular arch that can determine accurately the loss factor in short as well as long, stiff cored sandwich arches. This represents an advance over the previous laminate models, in which accurate estimates of the loss factor were only possible if short, stiff cored sandwich arches were investigated.
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## APPENDIX A

Equations (14)-(18) in the main text contain certain $A_{i j}, B_{i j}, C_{i j}$ and $D_{i j}$ terms which are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{11}= & -E_{1} K_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{1}}\right)^{2}+2 E_{1} K_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{1}}\right)^{2}\left(h_{1}+R_{1}\right)-E_{1} K_{3}\left(\frac{h_{1}+R_{1}}{2 h_{1}}\right)^{2}, \\
A_{12}= & E_{1} K_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{1}}\right)^{2}+E_{1} K_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{1}}\right)^{2}\left(h_{1}-R_{1}\right)-E_{1} K_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{1}}\right)^{2}\left(h_{1}+R_{1}\right) \\
& -E_{1} K_{3}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{1}}\right)^{2}\left(h_{1}-R_{1}\right)\left(h_{1}+R_{1}\right), \\
B_{15}= & G_{1} K_{3}\left(\frac{R_{1}+h_{1}}{2 h_{1}}\right)-E_{1} K_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{1}}\right)+E_{1} K_{3}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{1}}\right)\left(h_{1}+R_{1}\right), \\
C_{11}= & G_{1} K_{3}\left(\frac{R_{1}+h_{1}}{2 h_{1}}\right)^{2}, \\
C_{12}= & -G_{1} K_{3}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{1}}\right)^{2}\left(R_{1}-h_{1}\right)\left(R_{1}+h_{1}\right), \\
D_{11}= & -\rho_{1} M_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{1}}\right)^{2}+2 \rho_{1} M_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{1}}\right)^{2}\left(h_{1}+R_{1}\right)-\rho_{1} M_{3}\left(\frac{h_{1}+R_{1}}{2 h_{1}}\right)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{12}=\rho_{1} M_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{1}}\right)^{2}+\rho_{1} M_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{1}}\right)^{2}\left(h_{1}-R_{1}\right)-\rho_{1} M_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{1}}\right)^{2}\left(h_{1}+R_{1}\right) \\
& -\rho_{1} M_{3}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{1}}\right)^{2}\left(h_{1}+R_{1}\right)\left(h_{1}-R_{1}\right), \\
& A_{22}=-E_{1} K_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{1}}\right)^{2}-E_{1} K_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{1}}\right)^{2}\left(h_{1}-R_{1}\right)-E_{1} K_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{1}}\right)^{2}\left(h_{1}-R_{1}\right) \\
& -E_{1} K_{3}\left(\frac{h_{1}-R_{1}}{2 h_{1}}\right)^{2}-E_{2} K_{8}, \\
& A_{23}=-E_{2} K_{10}, \\
& B_{25}=-G_{1} K_{3} \frac{R_{1}-h_{1}}{2 h_{1}}-G_{2} K_{16}+E_{1} K_{2} \frac{1}{2 h_{1}}+E_{1} K_{3}\left(\frac{h_{1}-R_{1}}{2 h_{1}}\right)+E_{2} K_{12}, \\
& C_{22}=G_{1} K_{3}\left(\frac{R_{1}-h_{1}}{2 h_{1}}\right)^{2}+G_{2} K_{14}, \\
& C_{23}=G_{2} K_{17}, \\
& D_{22}=-\rho_{1} M_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{1}}\right)^{2}-2 \rho_{1} M_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{1}}\right)^{2}\left(h_{1}-R_{1}\right)-\rho_{1} M_{3}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{1}}\right)^{2}\left(h_{1}-R_{1}\right)^{2}+\rho_{2} M_{8}, \\
& D_{23}=-\rho_{2} M_{9}, \\
& A_{33}=-E_{3} K_{4}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{3}}\right)^{2}+E_{3} K_{5}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{3}}\right)^{2}\left(h_{3}+R_{3}\right)+E_{3} K_{5}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{3}}\right)^{2}\left(h_{3}+R_{3}\right) \\
& -E_{3} K_{6}\left(\frac{h_{3}+R_{3}}{2 h_{3}}\right)^{2}-E_{2} K_{7}, \\
& A_{34}=E_{3} K_{4}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{3}}\right)^{2}+E_{3} K_{5}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{3}}\right)^{2}\left(h_{3}-R_{3}\right)-E_{3} K_{5}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{3}}\right)^{2}\left(h_{3}+R_{3}\right) \\
& -E_{3} K_{6}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{3}}\right)^{2}\left(h_{3}-R_{3}\right)\left(h_{3}+R_{3}\right), \\
& B_{35}=G_{3} K_{6}\left(\frac{R_{3}+h_{3}}{2 h_{3}}\right)-G_{2} K_{15}-E_{3} K_{5}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{3}}\right)+E_{3} K_{6}\left(\frac{h_{3}+R_{3}}{2 h_{3}}\right)+E_{2} K_{11}, \\
& C_{33}=G_{3} K_{6}\left(\frac{R_{3}+h_{3}}{2 h_{3}}\right)^{2}+G_{2} K_{13},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{34}= & -G_{3} K_{6}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{3}}\right)^{2}\left(R_{3}-h_{3}\right)\left(R_{3}+h_{3}\right), \\
D_{33}= & -\rho_{3} M_{4}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{3}}\right)^{2}+2 \rho_{3} M_{5}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{3}}\right)^{2}\left(h_{3}+R_{3}\right)-\rho_{3} M_{6}\left(\frac{h_{3}+R_{3}}{2 h_{3}}\right)^{2}-\rho_{2} M_{7}, \\
D_{34}= & \rho_{3} M_{4}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{3}}\right)^{2}+2 \rho_{3} M_{5}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{3}}\right)^{2}\left(h_{3}-R_{3}\right)-\rho_{3} M_{5}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{3}}\right)^{2}\left(h_{3}+R_{3}\right) \\
& -\rho_{3} M_{6}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{3}}\right)^{2}\left(h_{3}+R_{3}\right)\left(h_{3}-R_{3}\right), \\
A_{44}= & -E_{3} K_{4}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{3}}\right)^{2}-E_{3} K_{5}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{3}}\right)^{2}\left(h_{3}-R_{3}\right)-E_{3} K_{6}\left(\frac{h_{3}-R_{3}}{2 h_{3}}\right)^{2}, \\
B_{45}= & -G_{3} K_{6}\left(\frac{R_{3}-h_{3}}{2 h_{3}}\right)^{2}+E_{3} K_{5} \frac{1}{2 h_{3}}+E_{3} K_{6}\left(\frac{h_{3}-R_{3}}{2 h_{3}}\right), \\
C_{44}= & G_{3} K_{6}\left(\frac{R_{3}-h_{3}}{2 h_{3}}\right)^{2}, \\
D_{44}= & -\rho_{3} M_{4}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{3}}\right)^{2}-2 \rho_{3} M_{5}\left(\frac{1}{2 h_{3}}\right)^{2}\left(h_{3}-R_{3}\right)-\rho_{3} M_{6}\left(\frac{h_{3}-R_{3}}{2 h_{3}}\right)^{2}, \\
A_{55}= & -G_{1} K_{3}-G_{3} K_{6}-G_{2} K_{9}, \\
C_{55}= & E_{1} K_{3}+E_{3} K_{6}+E_{2} K_{9}, \\
D_{55}= & -\rho_{1} M_{3}-\rho_{3} M_{6}-\rho_{2} M_{10}, \\
A_{i j}= & A_{j i}, \quad B_{i j}=-B_{j i}, \quad C_{i j}=C_{j i}, \quad D_{i j}=D_{j i}, \quad i, j=1,2, \ldots, 5 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation (23) in the main text contain $K_{i j}$ and $M_{i j}$ terms which are defined as follows:

$$
K_{i j}=-k_{n}^{2} A_{i j}+k_{n}\left|B_{i j}\right|+C_{i j}, \quad M_{i j}=-D_{i j}, \quad i, j=1,2, \ldots, 5 .
$$

## APPENDIX B: NOMENCLATURE

b
$E_{i}$
$E_{2}^{*}$
$\mathbf{e}_{r}$
$\mathbf{e}_{\varphi}$
$\mathbf{e}_{z}$
$\varepsilon_{\varphi i}$
$f(r)$
$g(r)$
$G_{i} \quad$ shear modulus of layer $i$
$G_{2}^{*} \quad$ complex modulus in shear
$\gamma_{r \varphi i} \quad$ shear strain of layer $i$
$h_{i} \quad$ half-thickness of layer $i$
$\varphi \quad$ circumferential co-ordinate
$n$
width of the arch elastic modulus of layer $i$ complex modulus in tension unit vector in the radial direction unit vector in the transverse direction unit vector in the $z$ direction tensile strain of layer $i$ shape function shape function mode number

| $r$ | cylindrical co-ordinate |
| :--- | :--- |
| $R_{i}$ | radius of center line of layer $i$ |
| $T$ | kinetic energy |
| $\sigma_{\varphi i}$ | tensile stress of layer $i$ |
| $\tau_{r \varphi i}$ | shear stress of layer $i$ |
| $\mathbf{t}_{i}$ | displacement vector of layer $i$ |
| $u_{1}$ | tangential displacement at the bottom of the first layer |
| $u_{2}$ | tangential displacement at the top of the first layer |
| $u_{3}$ | tangential displacement at the bottom of the third layer |
| $u_{4}$ | tangential displacement at the top of the third layer |
| $\alpha_{2}$ | material loss factor in tension of the second layer |
| $\beta_{2}$ | material loss factor in shear of the second layer |
| $\eta_{n}$ | composite loss factor for the $n$th mode |
| $\omega_{n}$ | frequency of oscillation in radians for the $n$th mode |
| $f_{n}$ | frequency of oscillation in Hertz for the $n$th mode |
| $\rho_{i}$ | density of layer $i$ |
| $\vartheta$ | opening angle of ring segment |
| $w$ | radial-displacement component |

